

## Comment on “Three-dimensional numerical investigation of electron transport with rotating spoke in a cylindrical anode layer Hall plasma accelerator” [Phys. Plasmas 19, 073519 (2012)]

C. L. Ellison, K. Matyash, J. B. Parker, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch

Citation: *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 014701 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4773895

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4773895>

View Table of Contents: <http://pop.aip.org/resource/1/PHPAEN/v20/i1>

Published by the [American Institute of Physics](#).

---

### Related Articles

Two-dimensional quasi-double-layers in two-electron-temperature, current-free plasmas  
*Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 023502 (2013)

Binding energy levels of a slowly moving ion in dusty plasmas  
*Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 023701 (2013)

Plasma electron source for the generation of wide-aperture pulsed beam at forevacuum pressures  
*Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **84**, 023301 (2013)

Theory of magnetic field line random walk in noisy reduced magnetohydrodynamic turbulence  
*Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 012308 (2013)

Magnetic stochasticity and transport due to nonlinearly excited subdominant microtearing modes  
*Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 012307 (2013)

---

### Additional information on Phys. Plasmas

Journal Homepage: <http://pop.aip.org/>

Journal Information: [http://pop.aip.org/about/about\\_the\\_journal](http://pop.aip.org/about/about_the_journal)

Top downloads: [http://pop.aip.org/features/most\\_downloaded](http://pop.aip.org/features/most_downloaded)

Information for Authors: <http://pop.aip.org/authors>

## ADVERTISEMENT



**AIP Advances**

Special Topic Section:  
**PHYSICS OF CANCER**

Why cancer? Why physics? [View Articles Now](#)

## Comment on “Three-dimensional numerical investigation of electron transport with rotating spoke in a cylindrical anode layer Hall plasma accelerator” [Phys. Plasmas 19, 073519 (2012)]

C. L. Ellison,<sup>1</sup> K. Matyash,<sup>2</sup> J. B. Parker,<sup>1</sup> Y. Raitses,<sup>1</sup> and N. J. Fisch<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543, USA

<sup>2</sup>Greifswald University, Greifswald D-17487, Germany

(Received 21 August 2012; accepted 13 December 2012; published online 18 January 2013)

The oscillation behavior described by Tang *et al.* [Phys. Plasmas **19**, 073519 (2012)] differs too greatly from previous experimental and numerical studies to claim observation of the same phenomenon. Most significantly, the rotation velocity by Tang *et al.* [Phys. Plasmas **19**, 073519 (2012)] is three orders of magnitude larger than that of typical “rotating spoke” phenomena. Several physical and numerical considerations are presented to more accurately understand the numerical results of Tang *et al.* [Phys. Plasmas **19**, 073519 (2012)] in light of previous studies.

© 2013 American Institute of Physics. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4773895>]

Oscillations are an important aspect of Hall thruster behavior as they influence cross-field electron transport, and correspondingly, thruster efficiency. The “rotating spoke,” originally observed by Janes and Lowder<sup>1</sup> in 1966, has attracted recent interest in both the cylindrical<sup>2–5</sup> and annular<sup>6,7</sup> configurations of the Hall thruster. A recent paper by Tang *et al.*<sup>8</sup> presents numerical studies of an azimuthally rotating electron density perturbation which is claimed to be the rotating spoke oscillation. In this Comment, we address two features of the numerical study in Ref. 8. First, the rotation speed and frequency are too large to be described as the rotating spoke without further justification. Experimental observations of the rotating spoke are in the kHz range, whereas the numerical results in Tang *et al.*<sup>8</sup> describe a 12.5 MHz oscillation. Second, the simulation results fall short of modeling self-sustained Hall thruster operation due to the lack of an electron source and the short time duration.

The so-called “rotating spoke” is a low-frequency azimuthal oscillation observed in both cylindrical and annular Hall thrusters.<sup>1–3,6,7,9</sup> It was originally observed using azimuthally separated electrostatic probes<sup>1</sup> and more recently detected using high-speed camera imaging.<sup>2,3,6</sup> Experiments have operated across a variety of thruster configurations, sizes, and operating parameters including magnetic field geometry, gas type and flow rate, and discharge voltage (see Ref. 6, for a parametric study in the annular Hall thruster geometry). The experimentally observed rotation velocity has been on the order of  $10^3$  m/s, ranging from 500 m/s in Ref. 6 to  $7 \times 10^3$  m/s in Ref. 1. In contrast, Tang *et al.*<sup>8</sup> observe a rotation speed of  $10^6$  m/s—three orders of magnitude larger than the experimental observations. The large discrepancy in rotation speed and frequency requires additional justification to be described as the rotating spoke instead of oscillations more commonly observed in the MHz range.<sup>10–16</sup>

One reason for classifying the observed rotation in Ref. 8 appears to be the difference between the azimuthal rotation speed and the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift speed. The  $10^6$  m/s rotation in Tang *et al.*<sup>8</sup> is 37% of the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  speed with  $B = 175$  Gauss and  $E = 470$  V/cm. For comparison, Ellison *et al.* observe a

$2 \times 10^3$  m/s rotation which is 10% of the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  speed using  $B = 850$  Gauss and  $E = 20$  V/cm. To rule out mere  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  rotation, the location where the electric field is measured is important, and it is unclear from Figure 4 in Ref. 8 that 470 V/cm is an appropriate estimate of the electric field near the electron cloud. Also, without a rigorous understanding of the rotating spoke mechanism, the scaling with the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  speed is not the only relevant measure. The experimental rotation speeds are also near the ion sound speed and ion thermal velocity, for instance, which do not scale with the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  speed. The similar time scales have led several authors to suggest the rotating spoke is related to ionization phenomena,<sup>1,3,5,6,17</sup> and until a better theoretical understanding is established, it is important to keep these parameters in mind.

Aside from the rotation speed discrepancy, the simulation of Tang *et al.*<sup>8</sup> lacks a cathode electron source and is shorter than the time required for the initial plasma distribution to extinguish. Consequently, the observed rotation is not likely to model the self-sustained plasma discharges studied during experiments, but instead the transient relaxation of an initial distribution of particles. The non-neutral plasma observed in Ref. 8 cannot persist in steady state because the excess charge will be forced to the electrodes by the perturbed electric field. A more rigorous study investigating the rotating spoke should include several milliseconds of sustained plasma to resolve ionization-relevant time scales for evaluating the rotation mechanism.

Overall the present oscillation in Ref. 8 appears distinct from the rotating spoke. For one, the variety of experimental configurations in which the rotating spoke has been observed have measured kHz-scale frequencies with rotation velocities on the order of  $10^3$  m/s. In contrast, the 12.5 MHz rotation observed by Tang *et al.* requires justification beyond comparison with the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  speed to be connected with the rotating spoke. Further separating the numerical results from the experimental observations is the absence of a self-sustained discharge in the numerical model.

This work was supported by DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466 with additional support from the Air Force Office of Science Research.

- <sup>1</sup>G. S. Janes and R. S. Lowder, *Phys. Fluids* **9**, 1115 (1966).
- <sup>2</sup>J. B. Parker, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 091501 (2010).
- <sup>3</sup>C. L. Ellison, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 013503 (2012).
- <sup>4</sup>M. E. Griswold, C. L. Ellison, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 053506 (2012).
- <sup>5</sup>K. Matyash, R. Schneider, O. Kalentev, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch, "Numerical investigations of a cylindrical Hall thruster," Presented at the 32nd International Electric Propulsion Conference, Wiesbaden, Germany, September 11–15, 2011, article number IEPC-2011-070.
- <sup>6</sup>M. S. McDonald and A. D. Gallimore, "Parametric investigation of the rotating spoke instability in Hall thrusters," Presented at the 32nd International Electric Propulsion Conference, Wiesbaden, Germany, September 11–15, 2011, article number IEPC-2011-242.
- <sup>7</sup>E. Chesta, C. M. Lam, N. B. Meezan, D. P. Schmidt, and M. A. Cappelli, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **29**, 582 (2001).
- <sup>8</sup>D. L. Tang, S. F. Geng, X. M. Qiu, and P. K. Chu, *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 073519 (2012).
- <sup>9</sup>Y. V. Esipchuk, A. I. Morozov, G. N. Tilinin, and A. V. Trofimov, *Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys.* **43**, 1466 (1973).
- <sup>10</sup>E. Y. Choueiri, *Phys. Plasmas* **8**, 1411 (2001).
- <sup>11</sup>A. A. Litvak and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Plasmas* **8**, 648 (2001).
- <sup>12</sup>A. A. Litvak and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 1379 (2004).
- <sup>13</sup>A. A. Litvak, Y. Raitses, and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 1701 (2004).
- <sup>14</sup>J. C. Adam, A. Héron, and G. Laval, *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 295 (2004).
- <sup>15</sup>A. Lazurenko, V. Vial, M. Prioul, and A. Boucoule, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 013501 (2005).
- <sup>16</sup>S. Tsikata, C. Honoré, N. Lemoine, and D. M. Grésillon, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 112110 (2010).
- <sup>17</sup>D. Escobar and E. Ahedo, "Ionization-induced azimuthal oscillations in Hall Effect Thrusters," Presented at the 32nd International Electric Propulsion Conference, Wiesbaden, Germany, September 11–15, 2011, article number IEPC-2011-196.